

### Appointment of Government Pleaders to High Court.

Q.—2750. Sri M. S. PATTAN (Ramdurg).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that five persons have been appointed as Government Pleaders to the Mysore High Court;

(b) if so, the particulars of communities to which they belong;

(c) the salary of the person who has been appointed as Government Pleader to the Mysore High Court from Mangalore region;

(d) whether the Government Pleader from Mangalore region is paid higher salary than what is paid to other four pleaders;

(e) if so, reasons therefor;

(f) whether it is a fact that three of the abovementioned five Government Pleaders belong to the old Mysore State;

(g) the reasons for not appointing any Government Pleader from the Hyderabad Karnatak region;

(h) the reasons for fixing such high salaries for these Government Pleaders?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Government).—

(a) Yes.

(b)—

Sriyuths—

M. Santhosh	...	Billava
A. B. Mariappa	...	Kurubar
B. A. Mahishi	...	Brahmin
D. M. Chandrasekar	...	Lingayet
B. Venkataswamy	...	Vokkaliga

(c) Rs. 700 p.m.

(d) Yes; Rs. 100 more. Other Government Pleaders are paid Rs. 600 p.m.

(e) In view of Sri Santhosh being a comparatively senior Advocate.

(f) Yes, Sri A. B. Mariappa, Sri B. Venkataswamy and Sri D. M. Chandrasekhar.

(g) Selection to the office is not ordinarily made on regional basis.

(h) The salaries are not high. Some of the reasons for fixing their salary at the aforesaid rate are:

the professional standing of the Advocate; average earnings of a lawyer of their experience; the importance of their duties in regard to legal advice and appearance on behalf of Government in the High Court and the dignity of office.

### Allotment for the Year 1958-59 of the Second Five-Year Plan.

Q.—2912. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the allotments for the year 1958-59 in the Five-Year Plan has been decided to cut down;

(b) if so, the projects, etc., affected by the cut;

(c) the schemes and the total amount proposed by the Government to the Planning Commission;

(d) whether they have thought of raising resources so that the schemes are not affected?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(a) No.

(b) The question does not arise.

(c) A draft programme was drawn up with an outlay of about Rs. 35 crores as shown below:—

	<i>Rs. in lakhs</i>
Agriculture and Community Development.	832.71
Irrigation and Power	1,272.33
Industries	268.00
Transport	229.25
Social Services	885.74
Miscellaneous	6.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,494.03</b>

However keeping in view of the State's capacity of raising resources and the foreign exchange position, it was agreed that the outlay on plan programme for 1958-59 should not exceed 25.7 crores, the break-up of which is as under:

Break-up for 1958-59 Rs. in lakhs	
Agriculture and Community Development.	619.69
Irrigation and Power ...	974.00
Industries ...	204.65
Roads and Communications	180.00
Social Services ...	584.40
Miscellaneous ...	7.80
Total ...	2,570.54

(d) Every effort is being made to raise internal resources.

### Elections to Gadag Municipality.

Q.—3156. Dr. SARDAR B. K. NAGUR (Bijapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether elections to the Gadag Municipality were held two years ago;

(b) whether the Chairman of the School Board has been elected so far;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor;

(d) whether they have taken any action in that regard?

A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The Chairman was elected on 22nd May 1956. This election was set aside by the Bombay High Court. The issue is before the Mysore High Court.

(c) *Vide* reply to clause (b).

(d) The matter is *sub judice* to take any action.

### PRIVILEGE MOTION

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have received a representation like this. It is a motion. Hon'ble Sri A. V. Narasimha Reddy has given notice of a motion of privilege. The notice reads as follows:

"I desire to draw your attention to the fact that the Hon'ble member, Mr. K. Kenchappa, in having given notice of a motion of privilege, questioning the right of the Speaker to alter the provisional programme of business for the current session and alleging that such modification in the pro-

gramme amounts to a breach of privilege, has cast reflection on the Chair and its power, and has thereby committed contempt of the Speaker and the House and a breach of privilege. I therefore request that this matter may be referred to the Committee of Privileges."

I am of the view that the matter proposed to be discussed is in order and I, therefore, give consent and permit Sri A. V. Narasimha Reddy to ask for leave to raise the question.

(Sri A. V. Narasimha Reddy rose).

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—Is there any discussion on this?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He will make only a small statement.

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ (ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಕ್ಷಿಣ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿನ ತಮ್ಮಗಳೆಲ್ಲರ ನೆನಪಿನಲ್ಲಿರಬಹಾಗೆ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪನವರು ಒಂದು ಹಕ್ಕು ಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಯ ಸಂಬಂಧದ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವುದರಮೂಲಕ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು, ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ನಾನು ಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಒದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪುಷ್ಟಿ ಕರವಾದ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು ಒದಿ, ಅನಂತರ ನನ್ನ ಭಾಷಣವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪನವರು ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿನ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಮೊದಲು ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು, ಅದರಲ್ಲೂ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಎಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಸಿಟಿ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ, ಗೋರವಾರವರ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಅವಕಾಶಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ತ್ರೇನೊಂದು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಅದರ ಈಚೆಗೆ ಪರಿಷ್ಕೃತವಾದ ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಗೋರವಾರವರ ವರದಿಯ ಮೇಲಿನ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಒಂದೇ ದಿವಸ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿದಾಗ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ, ಇದು ನಮ್ಮ ಸಭೆಯ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಷಯವಾದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಮೂದಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿನ್ನೆ ದಿವಸ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಂಥ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ತಮಗೆ ನೆನಪಿದೆ. ನಾವು ಮೊನ್ನೆ ತಾನೇ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾವುದೇ ಒಂದು ಅಂಶ, ಅದು ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತಾ ನಿರ್ಣಯವಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿದಕೂಡಲೇ *prima facie* ಅಂಥ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟದಾಗಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾದರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಇಡುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಅನ್ಯಥಾ ಇಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ತಾವು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯನಡ